

## **At least a Two-Way Street – decolonising arts management curricula in Kingston and Belfast**

**Kim-Marie Spence**

**To cite this article:**

Spence, Kim-Marie (2023). At least a Two-Way Street –decolonising arts management curricula in Kingston and Belfast, *Irish Journal of Arts Management and Cultural Policy*, 10 (1), 39-71.

**Published online:**

October 2023

**Corresponding author:**

Kim-Marie Spence	<i>Queen's University Belfast</i>	K.Spence@qub.ac.uk
------------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------

---

## Abstract

With the rising popularity of arts management and creative industries programmes, there have been concerns about the eurocentrism of these programmes, disquiet about the student demographic and the consequences for an increasingly globalised arts sector and cultural economy. However, these concerns have largely been discussed within the context of individual university programmes and aligned with a need for curriculum internationalisation across the North-South/East-West continua. Through a comparative case study of two arts management/film curricula in UK and Jamaica, I argue that, firstly, curricula decolonisation, not just internationalisation, is needed. Additionally, curricula decolonisation requires the inclusion of a relational geographic framework, in recognition of the relational geographic nature of coloniality. Thirdly, while application made by individual academics and within academic programmes is key, curricula decolonisation necessitates re-examination of the hegemonic coloniality of the international academic system, especially in the interdisciplinary field of cultural policy/arts management/creative industries due to coloniality's foundational cultural hierarchy. Curricula decolonisation is, at very least, a two-way street requiring North-South/East-West exchanges, partnerships and transformation; or at the simplest, a decolonial community of practice.

**Keywords:** arts management, creative industries, curriculum decolonisation, Jamaica, Northern Ireland.

---

## At least a Two-Way Street – decolonising arts management curricula in Kingston and Belfast

Kim-Marie Spence

### Introduction

Decolonisation of curricula is a longstanding issue within academia and one re-popularised in the wake of the resurgent Black Lives Matter movement (BLM) in 2020, particularly in those countries affected by European coloniality (Zook, 2021).<sup>1</sup> The role of education as a socialisation mechanism, a route to social mobility and the attainment of skills was recognised by earliest (Western) sociologists (Marx, 2000 (original 1847); Fanon, 1963; Bourdieu, 1990). Education is a double-edged sword; it acts as vehicle for liberation but also supports the continued (cultural) oppression of marginalised groups through the hidden curriculum. This article seeks to explore education's double-edged nature through a discussion of two concurrent curriculum decolonisation efforts in Jamaica and the UK. It is both an auto-ethnography of efforts to decolonise two arts management

© Irish Journal of Arts Management & Cultural Policy 2023

ISSN: 2009-6208. <https://culturalpolicy.ie/>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

(-type) curricula in two distinct economic-political contexts as well as an articulation of these efforts within wider curriculum decolonisation initiatives. I argue that the discussion around academic decolonisation in the Global North has often not included decolonial efforts ongoing in the Global South and vice versa; and that such inclusion is an essential, not optional, foundation for curriculum decolonisation.

Global North (hereafter North) and Global South (hereafter South)<sup>ii</sup> are contested terms, and in this research article describe a spectrum of realities rather than a binary. For example, in discussing 'Northern' Australian academia, cultural studies scholar Meaghan Morris writes of translation labour required to explain 'Australianisms' for an academic space dominated by American and British paradigms demonstrating the spectral quality of the North (Morris, 1992). Additionally, the academic coloniality also operates along an East-West continuum, highlighting particularly non-European colonial relationships. Since this research article discusses the context of Northern Ireland and Jamaica, both implicated most visibly in the North-South continuum, the continuum for brevity is subsumed within the 'North-South continuum.'

This article begins with an overview of the decolonisation within the academy, with a focus on examples from the intertwined areas of arts management, cultural policy and creative industries.<sup>iii</sup> The two cases discussed are a film studies course at the University of the West Indies (UWI) Jamaica and arts management at Queen's University Belfast (QUB) Northern Ireland (NI), United Kingdom (UK). The choices of these cases are due to access and they also represent the range of courses taught by cultural policy and creative industries academics, such as myself. The cases reveal that curriculum decolonisation is an iterative process, not an additive one, where different contextual knowledges are central. Historical legacies of coloniality in arts management and academic studies therefore go beyond the curriculum to impact frameworks of knowledge, and relationships between universities within the global tertiary educational economy. This article adds empirical support to existing calls for collaborative and interactive framework for academic decolonisation, one that involves universities and institutions along the North-South continuum (Jansen, 2023; Henze 2021, Mbembe 2021, 2019, Shome 2019).

## **Literature Review – the Complexity of Decolonisation**

Art Management is a recent discipline, emerging in 1960s Europe. Jonathan Paquette & Eleanora Redaelli (2015) link arts management programmes' emergence to the expansion of arts and cultural infrastructure in the post-World War II period and the need for arts management skills. Likewise, Gregory Paschalidis (2009) notes the rise of cultural initiatives in that period among then-world powers as part of nation branding and soft power strategies. In short, arts management, otherwise known as cultural management (DeVereaux, 2019) courses began as a Northern phenomenon, embedded with Western European and American paradigms about culture, arts and creativity (Kong et al., 2006). Additionally, the 1960s also represent a colonial period. Coloniality is also therefore embedded within arts and cultural management, even as the field responds to contemporary economic and political developments (Carpio Valdeallano, 2021; Dragičević-Šešić and Mihaljinac, 2020)

West-centrism<sup>iv</sup> is therefore characteristic of the field of arts, culture and cultural policy. Pertti Alasuutari and Anita Kangas (2020) note the relative recency of cultural policies' emergence internationally, tracing its dissemination from a request for cultural policy documents from the newly-established UNESCO. Alasuutari and Kangas (2020) state that the 'diffusion of the concept of cultural policy benefitted from international comparisons enabled by the national reports and the tendency of countries to emulate others, especially those belonging to the same reference group' (p.1). Additionally, another source of extra-national influence is the transmutation of colonial relations into development relations in many ex-colonies. Both Pierre Losson (2013) and Paloma Carpio Valdeavellano (2021) note the involvement of both UNESCO and the Spanish development agency, AECID in the establishment of the Peruvian Ministry of Culture. In contrast, coloniality can also result in cultural policy development in opposition to colonial influences (Cuyler, 2022; Mulcahy, 2017). Peer pressure, coloniality and national peculiarities interact with policymaking, the nature of cultural institutions and their funding models. Therefore, the study and teaching of these should also reflect this level of relationality and specificity (Cuyler 2022; Henze and Escribal 2021; De Beukelaer and Spence, 2019; Mulcahy 2017; Cunningham 2009). Additionally, research from diverse fields; from sociology to accounting recognise the continued impact of coloniality on the development of these fields (Sauerbronn et al.,2021; Barthold, 2020, Gukurume and Maringira, 2020).

Decolonising the curriculum includes more than internationalising the curriculum with case studies and material (beyond the West). It involves explicitly addressing cultural hierarchies born out of coloniality within both (ex-)colonies and (ex-)colonisers and beyond.<sup>v</sup> Raka Shome (2009) highlighted the insufficiency of adding cases, as the perspective from which internationalising occurs assumes 'the Anglo/Euro axis and imaginary of cultural studies (Shome, 2009, p. 696). This type of decolonisation is a one-way street, whereby Southern cases are added without expectation in the adjustment or critique of the 'traditional' conceptual paradigms of the field. In a later work, Shome (2019) asks, 'what might it mean to rethink political subject formation, agency, resistance, geopolitical, cultural, and economic exclusion when the Global South is the axis from which we look at the world and attempt to develop tools of critique, justice, and hope?' (p.196). It also involves recognising the relation between dispossession in the South and possession in the North, even within academia (Mbembe, 2021; Shome, 2019; Escobar, 1995; Rodney, 1973). Decolonisation therefore involves a recognition of the Western bias and the hegemonic power embedded therein within arts management (De Beukelaer, 2017; Lim and Lee, 2019; Lee, 2016; Lee and Lim, 2014; Gu 2014; Rajadhyaksha, Radhika and Raghavendra, 2013; Cunningham, 2009).

Curriculum decolonisation also involves recognition of the embeddedness of coloniality within wider economic, political and historic frameworks present in both the North and the South. Hegemonic exclusion of the marginalised occurs all along the North-South continuum. For example, regarding British theatre diversity, creative industries academic Anamik Saha (2018) notes it is not enough to include South Asians, but to change the embedded white-centric hegemony within the creative industries themselves. Likewise in South Africa, creative industries academic, Jen Snowball et al. (2017) highlighted the importance of state-funded income support, a systemic change, in the diversification of the arts and culture sectors in post-apartheid South Africa. People in industries with guaranteed income support were less therefore reliant on 'network sociality' (Wittel, 2001) and individual (pre-existing) networks to secure jobs. The

© Irish Journal of Arts Management & Cultural Policy 2023

ISSN: 2009-6208. <https://culturalpolicy.ie/>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

reliance on socialisation as a means of securing employment in arts and cultural sectors often privileged the already privileged. Moreover, societal marginalisation is intersectional, and so too is the intersectionality of its reproduction. Class, gender, race, nationality and education are all bases of marginalisation in the cultural sectors, with the degree and manner dependent on location (Belluigi & Thondhlana, 2022; De Beukelaer and Spence, 2019; Shome, 2019; Brook et al., 2000; Duffy, 2016; Spivak, 1994).

Curriculum decolonisation has been a process, engaged within national borders. In the UK, there has been a focus on reading lists and a call for the inclusion of works from those from marginalised (local) backgrounds due to race, gender, class and (dis)abilities (Winter et al., 2022; Bird and Pitman, 2020; Liyanage, 2020). In Australia, there has been (it seems) greater transnational inclusion, particularly of the experiences of Asian countries – through literature and practice –in the realm of creative industries teaching, highlighting the impact of capitalist international higher education demands<sup>vi</sup> (Gu and O'Connor, 2019; Ma, 2019; Takayama, 2016; Kong et al., 2009; Shome, 2006). Decolonisation is a multi-layered process, one that speaks to international, regional and national hierarchies, as was colonisation itself. These hierarchies have relevance in curricula choice, re: positionality. Gayatri Spivak (1994 p.79), also writing of India, noted that:

Certain varieties of the Indian elite are at best native informants for first-world intellectuals interested in the voice of the Other. But one must nevertheless insist that the colonised subaltern subject is *irretrievably heterogeneous* [emphasis added].

Spivak highlights the importance of attention to hierarchies of knowledge within countries. Likewise, Shome (2006) highlighted that 'South Asia' in cultural studies often meant 'India'. Shome (2019) noted later, quoting Spivak (Paulson, 2016), colonisation is one of 'critical intimacy'. The critical intimacy is key therefore to the decolonial process and therefore one not addressed by mere curricula representation.

This article analyses comparative arts management curriculum decolonisation approaches in Jamaica and Northern Ireland. It demonstrates how location, that is the physical and political context in which the academic institution is located, and history intersects with the process of curriculum decolonisation to produce different methods by the same academic. Curriculum decolonisation is not 'one size fits all'. Mai Abu Moghli and Laila Kadiwal (2021) note that curriculum decolonisation 'cannot happen in a vacuum, or as an aim disconnected from the rest of the structure of the university' (p.1). After outlining the institutional, pedagogical and student concerns and political economy of each case, a need for a relational, international and inter-institutional framework emerges to address the multi-layered issue of curriculum decolonisation. I argue that the efforts of individual academics, especially in an area involving culture, is limited, as it depends (unfairly) on the 'conceptualisation, positionality and conduct' (Moghli and Kadiwal, 2021) of the individual academic.

## Methodology and Personal Background

### The inter-related space of cultural policy, arts management and creative industries

While recognising the relationship between arts and cultural management; cultural policy and creative industries programmes, it is important to acknowledge that these areas are distinct, especially given the comparative central to this article, between a cultural policy course (within an arts management degree) and that of a film industry course. Each area has a (overlapping) canon - a body of work, authors, concepts, and paradigms that is considered essential and foundational. However, the tangled nature of cultural policy, arts management, media and creative industries are recognised (Evard and Colbert, 2000; DeVereaux, 2019; Durrer and Henze, 2019; Paquette and Redealli, 2015; Scullion and García, 2005). Yves Evard and François Colbert (2000), then chairs of International Association of Arts and Cultural Management (AIMAC), saw arts management and cultural management as the same. Likewise cultural management Constance DeVereaux (2019) preferring the term 'cultural management' defines it as covering 'arts management, arts administration, and cultural administration and includes[ing] the subfield of cultural policy' (p.92). While there is agreement on inextricability of the relationship between cultural policy and cultural management, the nature of the relationship is contested. While DeVereaux (2019) sees it as a subfield, Jonathan Paquette and Eleanora Redaelli (2015) see arts management and cultural policy as equally yoked and involved parts of the same field,

characterised by fragmented knowledge involving multiple kinds of actors – that can be grouped into practitioners and scholars – and two main discursive practices – arts management and cultural policy. (p.7)

The question then, especially as per the central comparative to this article, is the relationship between arts management, the creative industries and media, of which film industry courses would be a subset.

Defining creative industries is a complicated, political and geographically variant exercise (Lee HK, 2016; Cunningham 2009). In contrast, the study of creative industries (or cultural industries) is simpler to define. The creative industries are those sectors of art and culture, which can be operated as a business i.e. involving private goods that can be bought and sold. Moreover, as per the concentric model of the creative industries outlined by Throsby (2008) and the varying definitions of creative industries (Lee HK, 2016; Cunningham, 2009; Keane, 2009), the relationship of creative industries with arts and cultural sectors is complicated and has particular geo-genealogies. As with cultural management and cultural policy, the relationship between creative industries and cultural policy is a given, but the nature of it is not. Cultural policy has long moved beyond a definition involving what governments do in relation to arts, culture and heritage (Mulcahy, 2006); with the breadth of cultural policy signified by terms and concepts such as implied cultural policy (Throsby 2009) and cultural diplomacy (Ang et al., 2015). Both terms note that even within government structures, cultural policy concerns are impacted by policy beyond the cultural, such as those by finance foreign affairs ministries. Additionally, many cultural initiatives are undertaken by non-government bodies (Ang al., 2015; Paschalidis, 2007). The creative industries likewise necessitate a widening of cultural policy to include the workings cultural aspects of the economy or vice versa. Economist David Throsby (2010) highlighted the economisation of cultural policy, especially with the popularity and dissemination of creative

industries terminology and paradigms. Conversely, the debate on the relationship between creative industries and cultural policy ranges from advocacy for a creative industries policy separate from cultural policy, to arguing that cultural policy without the consideration of creative industries is both impossible and undesirable (Monclus and Arfaoui 2017; Bakhshi and Cunningham 2016). The study of cultural policy therefore involves the study of creative industries, cultural management and that in between. For these reasons, I argue that a comparative between a cultural policy course and a film industry course is still valid, given the inter-related space in which they are both situated.

North-South comparative cases of curriculum decolonisation are uncommon. Articles written on curriculum decolonisation, especially within arts management and its related fields tend to present one case, usually in the Global North, with a focus on moving beyond a Eurocentric canon (Hall et al., 2021; Lumadi, 2021; Gukurume and Maringira, 2020; Durrer, 2020; Gu & O'Connor, 2019; Shome, 2019; Joffe, 2019). Some have focused on the need to adapt to an international student demographic and their differing perspectives (especially within the Northern international higher education) (Durrer, 2020; Gu & O'Connor, 2019; Jackson and Chen, 2018; McMahon, 2018). Decolonisation articles from Global South are less common (Gukurume, S. & Maringira, G. 2020) and less so from the spaces of arts management, cultural policy and creative industries and this study seeks to add more (Gaio et al, 2023; Joffe 2019).

## The personal

There is need for reflexivity on my part. I am an Anglophone scholar born and raised in the Global South, who is located in a Northern institution, one that concurrently expands and limits my access. Additionally, my own educational and socio-economic background – as an Oxford-educated Jamaican of working class background - is demonstrative of a postcolonial liminality. My entire primary and secondary education is in Jamaica. However, as a 'bright' (and indigent) student, through scholarships<sup>vii</sup>, my tertiary/higher education has been in the Global North – the USA, UK and Australia. It allowed me access to decolonial literature and ideas, such as that by Fanon and Walter Rodney that I was not taught at school in Jamaica (though the curriculum is changing). However, the macrostructure was Northern, with a focus on Western/Northern concepts and its hidden curriculum. The writing of this auto-ethnographic piece has been an education in itself, showing the importance of self-reflection, interrogation and discomfort in the decolonial process for both students and teachers (Lahiri-Roy et al., 2023; Daniel, 2019) – even for those from the South in our various incarnations, advantages and disadvantages (Silva, 2020). I utilised techniques to establish trustworthiness in the writing of this largely auto-ethnographic account – such as reflexivity, audit trail, peer debriefing, and prolonged engagement (Lietz et al., 2006). Trustworthiness in this context does not deny the subjective nature of this account but ensures that that subjectivity is rooted in a knowledge and interaction with other perspectives and contexts. Lietz et al. (2006, p.275) note that:

autoethnography...eschews rigid definitions of what constitutes meaningful and useful research; this approach also helps us understand how the kinds of people we claim, or are perceived, to be influence interpretations of what we study, how we study it, and what we say about our topic.

© Irish Journal of Arts Management & Cultural Policy 2023

ISSN: 2009-6208. <https://culturalpolicy.ie/>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

The very nature of this method makes it suitable for the process of curricula decolonisation, where personal and institutional positionality, and political and geographic context, influences and eschews the possibility of a universal, 'one size fits all' approach. Some of these techniques were executed before I thought to write this piece. Autoethnography tend to rely on epiphanies and therefore revolve around hindsight reflection. I had been engaged with the UWI programme for four years, and the QUB programme for two years prior to writing this piece, allowing for prolonged engagement. It involved multiple student cohorts allowing for some measure of generalisability regarding student reaction to the curriculum and its developments over a three-to-four-year period. Additionally, prolonged engagement mirrored the iterative nature of curriculum decolonisation, allowing for documentation of epiphanies and changes made in reaction to student response, and greater understanding of their, my own and institutional positionality and resources. For example, over time I was able to better understand the (often) differential career trajectories of my UWI and QUB students. Likewise, the peer debriefing, particularly discussions with my UWI and QUB colleagues regarding changes to the curriculum occurred as part of a commitment to and knowledge of the benefits of developing a community of practice (Nistor et al., 2015; Buckley and Du Toit, 2010). Additionally, in submitting this article for peer review, I have been able to get feedback and suggestions (both for the article and my teaching practice) from those outside my university/ies. I also kept notes for myself regarding each year's content, assessments, student feedback and colleague advice without realising this is my audit trail.

Other aspects of trustworthiness however remained elusive, such as member check (Lietz et al., 2006). In the consultation of students on their reaction to the course, i.e., member check, there were ethical issues, particularly with the UWI students. The UWI course had a single summative assignment. Students were therefore dependent on lecturer goodwill, exacerbating the lecturer-student power differential. In this environment, students are unlikely to (negatively) critique the lecturer, prior to the final assignment. In contrast, QUB has a formal anonymous system of student evaluation and therefore encourages student assessment and critique of courses and lecturers.<sup>viii</sup> I therefore could utilise those comments, as well as in-class comments as part of the member check. Additionally, there could have been a collaborative approach with the students. Muminah Arshad et al. (2021) were involved in the staff-student project to decolonise the curriculum of University College London's (UCL) Department of Political Science, with departmental involvement and support. This article focuses on an individual effort, thereby not allowing for member check i.e., consultation with other students not in the course. However, on reflection, it highlights the importance of a systematic cross-departmental (and beyond) effort within curriculum decolonisation, to avoid dependence of an individual lecturer's resources.

Moghli and Kadiwal (2020) highlight conceptualisation, positionality and conduct as key to the curriculum decolonisation, noting therefore that the exercise involves the curriculum itself; who teaches it and who is being taught; and the institution and system in which it is implemented (or not). Given my own movement along the North-South continuum, much of my own education is North-centric (with higher education in the US, UK and Australia), even while undergirded by Southern experience (i.e. life experience and research interests) (Silva, 2020). Much of what I was taught is North-centric (Jansen, 2023). I am aware without research interests that force/d me to confront the inadequacies of those learnt paradigms and the publicising of this subject and my own commitment of decolonisation within education, that I might teach differently. Additionally, my own commitment and methods continually develop as I acknowledge new areas of

© Irish Journal of Arts Management & Cultural Policy 2023  
ISSN: 2009-6208. <https://culturalpolicy.ie/>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



decolonisation. One such example is the need to move beyond Anglophone sources and to acknowledge the coloniality of English as my academic language (Lumadi, 2021; Henze, 2020) while acknowledging the ease (to me). In fact, language is the next iteration of my decolonisation practice with both courses highlighted here. Curriculum decolonisation involves a lot of ongoing personal work, reflectivity and research (Lahiri-Roy et al., 2023; Silva, 2020).

The present academic publishing system is an obstacle to curriculum decolonisation. Lily Kong et al. (2006) noted an explanation for many Asian creative industries policies citing similar Western literature, 'the extent to which academic and policy knowledges are socially and spatially embedded – that is, they circulate through formal and informal systems of publishing, exchange, commodification and cultural influence' (p.173). Likewise, in an examination of UK curricula decolonisation efforts, Schucan Bird and Lesley Pitman (2020) note that 'the development of more diverse reading lists will therefore need to be accompanied by a range of initiatives to challenge inequalities in knowledge production and bring marginalised perspectives into the centre' (p. 916). Discounting the binary of a centre-periphery framework, there was need to continually re-examine *my* own awareness of writings beyond the Global North – which often are the most cited and well-respected due to the politics of citation (Smith and Garrett-Scott, 2021; Kim, 2020; Mott and Cockayne, 2017; Hanafi & Arvantis, 2016; Shome, 2009). For example, in a recent special issue of *Arts & Humanities in Higher Education* on arts management, there was one Global South entry (Joffe 2019). Additionally, the site of publication is also a point of note. In many Southern locations, consultancies are a major site of research, due to the dearth of and low pay associated with academic jobs and well-paid nature of consultancies (Thawngmung, 2017; Wight, Ahikire and Kwesiga, 2014; Wight, 2008). John Wight (2008, (p.112), albeit in a discussion of the dearth of health-related academic research in East Africa, highlighted the difficulty in local academic research and publishing:

Poor social science capacity was primarily related to under-development and global economic inequalities: very poor schooling, talented students choosing high status vocational courses, poor university facilities and teaching, research funded through Northern institutions, and the drain of senior researchers abroad.

He estimated that most local research happened as part of consultancies with academics utilising 50% of their time in consultancies (Wight 2008). This therefore requires the consultation of grey literature and consultancy reports that often are not publicly disseminated and often do not appear in academic search engines. There are also international political economic realities involved here, such as the reluctance by many Northern development agencies to pay the Southern university department for the research thereby contributing to university-level resources (Wight, Ahikire and Kwesiga, 2014; Wight 2008). The result then is a need to recognise and source from the breadth and location of academic work in the North and the South in traditional and untraditional formats, including performance (Shome, 2019). Additionally, this approach would address elements of the politics of citation to recognise work wherever it may be or whoever it may be by (Shome 2019). This implies a restructuring of academia to recognise such work, allowing for a greater cross-pollination of academics along the North-South spectrum. However, with the non-recognition of consultancy work within academia, Southern academics are at a disadvantage in the job market, even in the South.

## The Case Studies

The case studies include a film studies program in Jamaica and an arts management program in NI, UK. While not the same, both courses exist within the interdisciplinary realm of creative industries, and arts management, as discussed previously. Additionally, one of the main reasons for the case choice is access. [redacted for anonymity] It provided an uncommon opportunity to have concurrent teaching experience in Northern and Southern university contexts. Seawright and Gerring (2008) note the importance of the most similar in comparative case studies – whereby the cases represent the most similar but with one major difference. Here the major difference is geographic, with the same lecturer drawing from similar material. The specific Jamaican course examined is one on film marketing and distribution, while the British course is one focused on cultural policy – with both designed for a similar student cohort interested in working in the general arts and media realm. This experience therefore can **possibly** be extrapolated to other cases and how curricula decolonisation intersects differentially with location along the North-South spectrum.

## The Jamaican Case

### The Institution and the course

The University of the West Indies (UWI) is the premier university in the Anglophone Caribbean and its Communication School is considered the best in the region. As with many other universities within former British colonies, UWI was founded in 1948 as a colonial institution (in collaboration with University of London) (Francis-Brown, 2004). UWI is a regional university with five campuses in Jamaica, Trinidad & Tobago, Barbados, Antigua & Barbuda and the Open Campuses. Each of the first three campuses have cultural studies/creative industries/creative arts programmes, with this remit shared between two schools on the Jamaican campus - the Institute of Caribbean Studies (ICS) and the Caribbean School of Media and Communication (CARIMAC) (Jamaica). It is noteworthy that there are no arts management programmes at UWI, with the preferred nomenclature being creative entrepreneurship; cultural enterprise management; and cultural and creative industries [programmes] within ICS. The focus is on business/commercial aspects of the cultural and creative industries with programmes in artist, and music business management. This naming represents the differential framework in which arts and cultural management occurs within the Anglophone Caribbean (hereafter Caribbean) with limited or no public cultural funding. Likewise Gaio et al. (2023), in a discussion of arts management curriculum development and decolonisation, propose a different name, naming it cultural and policy management (CPM). It differs from DeVereaux's (2019) definition, as the field is positioned 'in response to the prevailing economic understanding of cultural and creative industries and its relationship to public sector support; the affordances and challenges of digital technologies; the emergence of cultural entrepreneurship; and the persistent exclusions and elitism in Global South urban regeneration initiatives [derived from Joffe (2018)]' (p. 10). Informality pervades the Caribbean cultural space (Barrow, 2022; Spence, 2021). In this environment, creative entrepreneurship is the model:

Given my Jamaican background, scholarship obligations; and my focus on the interaction of cultural policy and cultural industries in the Non-West (and previous experience in the Jamaican government, I offered to teach the political economy of the film industry/ies at CARIMAC. CARIMAC provides audio-visual training in animation, film, marketing and journalism. The film marketing and distribution module for final-year film students is a complement to the production of their final film. This module had been approved, but not taught prior. The syllabus given to me was one that had been approved by UWI for starting the course.

## The Curriculum

My goal was to present a nationally-situated global overview of the political economy of the global film industry/ies and I have now taught this module for four years (2019-2023). My Jamaican government creative industries policy and academic experience had instilled the importance of a global cultural economy that recognised the issues of access from the Caribbean and the coloniality, international relations and debt that undergirded it (Henze, 2020; Shome, 2019). In this way, students are/have to be informed and prepared to be creative entrepreneurs. However, enacting this with the original curriculum was not possible. The content of the original syllabus reflected institutional issues of Southern access and coloniality. The reading on film marketing and distribution was limited to American independent film distribution, demonstrative of the geographical and diasporic proximity of the USA. While the focus on independent cinema demonstrated awareness of the independent nature of the Caribbean film scene, there was no local knowledge included. This was reflective partially of access issues and the dearth of literature.

My literature search was supported by my location within a Northern university, allowing access to a wealth of film industry academic literature. Academic literature is expensive, particularly in Southern universities where arts management literature is not a priority. Expensive journal subscriptions make the Northern academic ecosystem difficult to access for Southern institutions and academics, an argument for open access and the rethinking of academic publishing (Asea, 2022; Gukurume and Maringira, 2020). There is a wealth of academic material on the largest film producing nations and their respective industries – USA, India, Nigeria, South Korea and Japan, but most were unavailable at UWI. I was able to find this through my QUB access and include literature on Hollywood, Nollywood and Bollywood and other film scenes and industries, based on access and my own research on Non-West cultural industries (De Beukelaer and Spence, 2019).

The second issue of local academic content on the Caribbean film economy was more difficult; and required iterations over two to three years to begin to satisfy my own standards. Firstly, there is a dearth of literature on Caribbean and other Southern comparative film scenes (Gaio et al, 2023). After extensive research, I was able to find two volumes on the Caribbean film industry, one by a Dutch researcher (Martens, 2019) and another by a Caribbean-American researcher (Frampton, 2014). The Caribbean researcher's book was not noted in any film bibliography or search engine that QUB could access, as it is a UWI working paper. Interestingly, sourcing the Frampton (2014) paper was the result of a second-year review in which the lack of local sources was highlighted and it was recommended. Sometimes, even if Northern academics want to use

more Southern literature, the North-centric bias and Southern lack of access to the ecosystem of academic literature makes it difficult to access (Bird and Pitman, 2020; Altbach, 2014). There is therefore a role for cooperation at an institutional level regarding literature; and the importance of increasing open access material.

Additionally academic work does not only happen within academia, particularly in the South. There is a need to recognise the wealth of work that is academic – from consultancy reports to performances, particularly in the interdisciplinary realm of the creative industries (Shome, 2019). As noted earlier, poor remuneration within higher education, particularly in the South (but not exclusively so) has made consultancies a way for academics to survive (Thawngmung, 2017; Wight, Ahikire and Kwesiga, 2014; Wight, 2008). For the Anglophone Caribbean, this requires consultation within academic work and also consultancy reports published by the various agencies involved in development such as the Inter-American Development Bank, the European Union, WIPO and CARICOM (Caribbean Community) beyond the English language. Consultancy reports have, in the absence of formal routine data collection, become the source of data on the creative industries in the Caribbean (Hickling Gordon and Thomas Gilbert Roberts, 2022; Nordicity, 2021; Hendrickson and Stanley Niaah, 2018; Burri and Nurse, 2017; Oxford Economics, 2014; Nurse, 2006; James, 2001). Shome (2019) invites us to consider non-academic interventions as ‘knowledge should [not] only be encased in academic platforms’ (p. 208). I therefore utilised the numerous consultancy reports on Caribbean creative industries of Jamaica. The dependence on my local knowledge existence of these reports highlights the burden and reliance on the individual lecturer’s embodied knowledge.

Additionally, Caribbean film industry/ies is an area of little interest to both Northern and Southern researchers, there is little funding available locally and internationally to support film-specific research, hence the reliance on wider areas of creative industries research. Film academic Bernard Frampton (Hill, 2014) in a newspaper interview notes ‘there is no official Caribbean film industry, therefore the elements that would ensure smooth production, distribution and promotion are fragmented... and no standards for how these productions are made.’ It is unlikely if this course was in India, Nigeria or another Southern location famously associated with film that there would be such an exclusion of local content, highlighting the spectral nature of the South.

I sought to address the dearth of Caribbean material utilising human books. Human books refer to guest speakers but in a more interactive and expansive way. Ziad Fahed (2020) defines ‘the pedagogical purpose of Human Books ...[as a] interactive learning experience... where students learn through personal dialogue with people who have overcome challenges while impacting their community’ (p. 202). Human books originate from a Danish initiative that sought to diversify learning and education and decrease prejudice.<sup>ix</sup> I have utilised it as a tool to bridge the gap between written and unwritten knowledge. It differs from guest lecturership in the scope of its interaction:

The concept of the Human Books allows students to ‘borrow’ a person who has a spiritual solidarity experience to share. For 25 minutes, they can sit with this Human Book and have a face-to-face, one-on-one discussion... Unlike a print book, the Human Books are there to answer questions and tailor the storytelling experience to the person in front of them (Fahed, 2020, p.204).

© Irish Journal of Arts Management & Cultural Policy 2023

ISSN: 2009-6208. <https://culturalpolicy.ie/>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

I utilised the experience of Caribbean and African diasporic guest speakers as human books for the students to fill the literature gap of localised experience and knowledge i.e., the film industry (usually North American and UK as the Caribbean student cohort are likely to work in these places) from the perspective of an emerging Caribbean filmmaker. They became core texts of, rather than supplements to, the course. Additionally, each year's human book would be cited in the way other texts are cited in future years, as per any other text. I have been able to incorporate experiences of Jamaican TV show producers, online streaming platform creator, and BAFTA-winning British Jamaican producers working in the Jamaican, American and British film industries/scenes. However, there is again a reliance on my embodied knowledge and connections. It therefore makes this hard to systematise, an issue with human libraries (Wong and Lin, 2023; Jana and Rout, 2022).

Epistemic coloniality was also reflected in the films recommended on the original syllabus. The original syllabus included no Caribbean films. The intention of the films chosen seemed to be iconic films for film language education or rather a film canon. All were twentieth century American or European films, including Alfred Hitchcock's *The Birds* (1963) and Sergei Eisenstein's *Battleship Potemkin* (1925) – despite there being a raft of well-known Caribbean iconic films ranging from *The Harder They Come* (1972) to *Better Mus' Come* (2011); and an active Caribbean film festival scene both locally and regionally. In such a small film space, the definition of the film canon is largely international. Epistemic coloniality valorises Northern knowledge, while disregarding the local (Gukurume and Maringira, 2020). The exclusion also reflects the film education of those in Jamaica. Most local Caribbean filmmakers study abroad or learn online; and teachers are often drawn from the local filmmaking circuit, leading to an intergenerational transfer of the coloniality and perspectives embedded therein. As Gaio et al write in 2023, 'although CPM has experienced significant growth at a global level in recent decades, the standard curriculum design and mainstream literature – including the expanding subject specific textbooks – originate in the West, reflect and address what are predominantly Western and, within this, largely Anglo-Saxon realities and models' (p. 13). These exclusionary practices, not only adversely impact the individual scholars, but also harm generations who are then taught a curriculum from which a diversity of academic perspectives has been excluded. Ironically, the wish to ensure that students are globally ready can paradoxically lead to such erasure of the local (Gukurume and Maringira, 2020, Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2018; Mbembe, 2016). Anne-Maria Makhulu & Christen Smith (2022), writing about #Cite BlackWomen, note:

This tension between the diversity of the [canon's] membership and the prominence of some and not others drills down as deep as who is cited and who is not...and ultimately who and what is regarded as pathbreaking, ingenious, and...theoretical (pp.177-178).

The original film list was reflective of what was considered 'ingenious.' I argue for the addition of nationality and location as elements in decolonisation of the curriculum. Citational practices resulted in a canon that excludes both Northern 'minorities' as well as Southern voices, even in Southern syllabi (Joffe, 2019). Southern experience is excluded in favour of teaching the 'pathbreaking and ingenious' (Makhulu and Smith, 2022) which is often from the North. Nationality and location of the authors also matter as per embodied knowledge. This is not to say all minorities are supportive of non-conventional viewpoints, as per discussions of Southern elite (Jansen, 2023; Spivak 1994), but it is to note that greater inclusion increases the chance for

radical, challenging viewpoints (Bird and Pitman, 2020). In addressing the epistemic coloniality of knowledge, it is therefore important to utilise perspectives that triangulate that coloniality – colonised, coloniser, and those in between. This requires work from different nationalities and the marginalised in both the North and the South. In seeking to expand my students' knowledge of the political economy of film and not just film business, I learnt that I needed to include material (including human books) from the local, the international and the diasporic and those in-between. An example of the importance of glocal relational context is Antonios Vlassis' (2016) discussion of the Chinese film industry facing distribution obstacles due to a global film distribution system structured around Hollywood, despite an abundance of public funding for film production for Chinese filmmakers.

The syllabi had to intersect with career projection given the students' Caribbean location - the grounding. The places Caribbean filmmakers have access to, as per longstanding migratory patterns, such as the Caribbean, Canada, the UK, with a focus on some African markets particularly Nigeria and Ghana, were made focal points. These points were chosen based on historic patterns of audience, reception and distribution of other Caribbean content. As with the human books, case studies of other filmmakers, such as Michaela Coel, from Bollywood, Nollywood, the UK and beyond, compiled from press reportage, were also included.<sup>x</sup> An awareness of national and locational (dis)privilege of being a Caribbean national situated in a fledgling film and TV industry has to intersect with a need for internationalisation and decolonising the curriculum. This balances the need to critique knowledge and institutions – highlighting that UWI should prepare students for the world, not just home and vice versa. This is a difficult balancing act and one that individual academics approach differently. Jansen (2023), writing from a South African space, notes the varying definitions of decolonisation by local academics, ranging from educational remediation and a need for largely Black students to catch up; to good pedagogy and making material accessible; to the inclusion of African indigeneity. It raises the need, despite the best individual efforts, for agreement on what curriculum decolonisation entails.

## **Student Reaction**

Student involvement and response is key in decolonisation efforts, yet a significant amount of the work published focuses on either the individual academic's or the institution's efforts (Winter et al., 2022; Moghli and Kadiwal, 2021; Lumadi, 2021; Bird and Pitman, 2020; Gukurume and Maringira, 2020; Liyanage, 2020; Joffe, 2019). While there is no formal system of student appraisal at CARIMAC, I noted the responses of students to the material as I sought to improve and respond to the needs and interests of the students each year. Additionally, formally discussing student responses to my lectures and curricula represented an ethical dilemma, as noted earlier. Despite these challenges, student response and involvement are key in the interactive process of education, and particularly so in decolonisation efforts (Gaio et al., 2023; Motala et al., 2021). There have been explicit student demonstrations about decolonising the curriculum, such as #RhodesMustFall (Motala et al., 2021; Liyanage, 2020). It is noteworthy that there has been no such student-led movement in Jamaica.

The overall student reaction to the film studies syllabus was one of surprise at their own coloniality. The CARIMAC student demographic is exclusively Caribbean, with a Jamaican

majority. The class, as a final year undergraduate course, consists of approximately five students and is conducted as an interactive seminar. One distinctive comment came from a student in 2019 (the first year of the course), 'Miss, I did not know how white I was'. This particular student was referring to his lack of knowledge of African diasporic film, from the African continent, North America, Europe or the Caribbean. In Jamaica with a history of both British and Spanish colonisation, neo-coloniality is often colloquially equated with whiteness. This is not uncommon in colonial settings. Lumadi (2020), writing of post-apartheid Africa, notes 'this elite [also] have the racial connotation of whiteness' (p.38). This is demonstrative of a poor Caribbean distribution system, but also an inter-generational colonial hierarchy that makes local productions less important for educational purposes.

Another common reaction was dismay at the lack of knowledge about Caribbean content, creators and insight in particular. Another student remarked in 2020, that she was 'brainwashed', referring to her extensive knowledge of American, including African-American content, but little of local productions. Given their location within Jamaica, the students often assume they knew, especially in relation to a lecturer based in the UK. Learning does not only happen in the classroom, as students approach the classroom with their own embodied experiences (Gaio et al., 2023; Moghli and Kadiwal, 2021; Bird and Pitman, 2020). Caribbean media ecosystems are North America- dominated, with most Caribbean films never being seen outside of their island or on local television stations (Frampton, 2014). The Jamaican media environment is characterised by a dearth of local programming. A 2022 UNESCO report on the Jamaican media environment noted the difficulty of television stations to attract enough revenue to support new programming, due to a vicious cycle of poor content, Jamaica's socioeconomic demographics, and decreasing advertising revenue (Schmidt and Maharaj, 2021). Additionally, the average Jamaica household income is a half of what it was in the early 1970s (Schmidt and Maharaj, 2021).

One of the human books utilised in 2021 was a Jamaican television programme producer, who noted that the model for local content was one where the broadcasters expected you to pay for the content to be aired by attracting advertising revenue. In many Northern countries, the government incentivises the production of local content. Additionally, private distributors and film companies and channels, such as Netflix and BBC, commission content. The dearth of local content is a longstanding issue within the Jamaican media landscape. For example, TVJ, known then as Jamaica Broadcasting Corporation (JBC) TV, started a year after Jamaica's independence in 1963, highlighting media infrastructure underdevelopment during its colonial era. In contrast, in 1948, the BBC (British Broadcasting Corporation) had broadcast the London Olympics in the UK. Moreover, due to its financial situation, JBC TV was only able to air American and British shows in its initial years, with original programming emerging in the 1970s (Grant 2019). Presently, the media environment, inclusive of cable and streaming platforms, are still characterised by a dominance of American and British programmes, a situation compounded by a number of regulatory and financial advantages of foreign media in Jamaica (Schmidt and Maharaj, 2021). Coloniality's deficits are compounded by continuing disadvantages of poverty, regulatory capacity and the need to court foreign interests to produce an environment where the youth do not see themselves in film, beyond entertainment and music programmes. With the increased strength and organisation of the Jamaican film community and their promotion of local filmmakers and festivals, the students came into the class much more aware of local productions. However, the awareness of how different Caribbean film scenes worked in relation to the other

© Irish Journal of Arts Management & Cultural Policy 2023

ISSN: 2009-6208. <https://culturalpolicy.ie/>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

film industries was still low. Many assumed the Jamaican film scene functioned 'like in the movies' (Student, 2020). I actively sought out Caribbean content. Given my Caribbean and international academic network and my research interests, I was able to locate these.

## **The Northern Ireland (UK) Case**

### **The Institution**

Queen's University Belfast (QUB) is one of the oldest educational institutions in (Northern) Ireland and was founded for the education of Catholics and Presbyterians in Ireland in 1845. Northern Ireland (NI) has a history of conflict between Catholics (and Presbyterians) Protestants, between nationalist Irish and unionist pro-British sentiments. NI was carved out to support a Protestant/Unionist majority, in line with the Protestant nature of the English monarchy. NI therefore displays many of the characteristics common to postcoloniality, 'such as hybridity, mimicry, miscognition, ambivalence, and resistance' (Grath, 2021, p.463). Despite the Irish War of Independence (1919-1921) and the 'Troubles' (1960s-1998), many systems in both the Republic of Ireland (ROI) and NI use British systems as templates, including university structures (McGrath, 2012). Like UWI, it is the most prominent university in a small colonial place, albeit a Northern colonial place. Additionally, QUB is also a member of the Russell Group, a group of the most prestigious research-intensive UK universities, inclusive of world-famous universities Oxford and Cambridge.

While coloniality and race often intersect, they are distinct. QUB has faced longstanding criticisms of institutional whiteness. When noted Black activist Angela Davies spoke at QUB in 2017, BLM Belfast released a press statement noting its 'white feminist reading of women's empowerment, career development and inclusion' (BLM Belfast, 2017). Likewise, there are complaints about xenophobia from QUB students of colour, noting QUB is the only Russell Group university to not publish its BAME Attainment Gap figures (Black, 2020).

While decolonisation of the curriculum has been a longstanding issue in UK education, especially with the influence of the 2015 South Africa-originated #RhodesMustFall, George Floyd's murder re-ignited a global reckoning of #BlackLivesMatter in 2020 (Zook, 2021; Fanon, 1963). In the North, academia-focused racial justice hashtags and movements were either reinvigorated or emerged, including #CiteBlackWomen and #BlackintheIvory including movements focused on the decolonisation of the curriculum, such as The Black Curriculum in the UK<sup>xi</sup> (Makhulu and Smith, 2022; Zook, 2021).

In the inter-related fields of arts management, cultural policy and the creative industries, there has been a longstanding recognition of the inequitable relations between the haves and the have-nots (Brook et al, 2020; De Beukelaer & Spence, 2019; Morgan and Nelligan, 2018; Oakley et al., 2017; Hesmondhalgh et al., 2015; Watson, 2008). There are also demands for a globalised curriculum due to the international capitalist nature of higher education and the presence of international students (Chatterjee and Barber, 2021; Gu & O'Connor 2019; Deardorff, Wit and Adams, 2012; Shome, 2009; Altbach, 2004). Many highly ranked Northern universities market to international students as part of their financial sustainability, making international higher education an industry (Altbach, 2004). Xin Gu and Justin O'Connor (2019) note how marketing of Australian degree programmes to Asia, in particular China, has put pressure on those

© Irish Journal of Arts Management & Cultural Policy 2023

ISSN: 2009-6208. <https://culturalpolicy.ie/>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



programmes to engage with different cultural contexts. Higher education has become an export industry with the WTO considering the inclusion of higher education within its framework (Altbach, 2004). However, there has been little discussion of how the demands of globalised higher education, decolonisation and the experiences of academics from marginalised backgrounds intersect in the teaching of cultural policy and the creative industries, with the recent article by Gaio et al. (2023) as an exception.

## The Curriculum

The original QUB cultural policy curriculum likewise reflected the demands of international higher education. The curriculum included international content, mostly from a variety of Northern geographies - North America, the EU, the UK, and Australia; and two case studies from Hong Kong and Peru.<sup>xiii</sup> Access to academic literature, including those in the North that work on (or in?) the South, was facilitated by a wealth of journal subscriptions, attendance at international conferences consummate with a Russell Group UK university. The syllabus also included critical examinations of class, race, and gender and other marginality faultlines within Northern cultural labour (Brook et al, 2020; De Beukelaer and Spence, 2019; Morgan and Nelligan, 2018; Oakley et al., 2017; Hesmondhalgh et al., 2015; Watson, 2008). Northern material dominated, especially as the source of theory, and is reflective of the wider academy (Gukurume and Maringira, 2020; Mbembe, 2016; Shome, 2009; Altbach, 2004). For example, there was often an assumption of non-profit paradigms for arts and cultural organisations, which is reflective of a culture of public funding (Alacovska and Gill, 2019; Gu and O'Connor, 2014; Kim, 2014). As previously noted, creative entrepreneurship is the model of, at least, Caribbean arts management (Barrow, 2022).

I sought initially to de-centre the North in regard to the cultural policy curriculum when I started teaching the course in 2021. The original cultural policy curriculum of 2021 included what is considered the canon in UK arts management with a focus on current, well-respected largely Northern scholars, reflecting a confluence of access and geographical proximity. I de-centred by increasing representation from Asia, Africa, the Caribbean, South America, and the Middle East. I realised quickly that internationalising was not decolonial, as it did not present the differential resources, paradigms and socio-economic contexts, and the historical relational background with which it interacts. I decolonised how those materials were taught – by demonstrating the connections between North with those in the South; and the intersection of history and contemporary experience. Additionally, while acknowledging intersections of disadvantage, I also questioned what is considered marginal or exceptional in cultural policy paradigms. The paucity of financial resources in much of the South is often linked to historic and/or contemporary exploitation by the North, and in turn affects public funding models (Mbembe, 2021; Chang, 2002; Rodney, 1973). As Shome (2019) notes 'the Global South is not just a place (although it is also that), but a condition (of dispossession)' (p. 203). In the third year, 2023, I also noted that it is important to discuss the nuance and spectral nature of North and South terminology and also dynamism of the relationship. Dispossession occurs in the North as well. Likewise, the relationship between North and South is changing in relation to knowledge production (Jansen, 2023). As in the UWI case, this was an iterative process at QUB. With the decolonial aspect emerging in my second year, 2022. Again, such work was dependent on my own time, my re-

examination and re-evaluation of material, and my own definition of decolonisation (Jansen, 2023; Miller, 2019; Kenny, 2018).

Group assignments were one of the most effective methods in discussing coloniality within the QUB course. While, the UWI course had one final summative assignment,<sup>xiii</sup> which then made lectures and in-class interaction the main means of instruction, the QUB course included summative and formative graded assignments throughout the term. I chose the group assignment with an international cohort of students; the resulting small group discussion and collaboration, likely to allow for the airing of different positionalities and perspectives, along the North-South/East-West continua (Black and William, 2009; Weaver and Qi, 2005). Moreover, these group presentations were then made before the entire class. I therefore changed the countries assigned as group case studies to include Northern, Southern and Eastern countries. The inclusion of the country's (cultural policy) history was made mandatory, acknowledging that current states build on the decisions and events of the past i.e. historical institutionalism. The presentations often identified that within Southern countries, international organisations were often important as funders and influence cultural policy development versus in the North and the East. Additionally, they noted the adverse impact of debt obligations in some countries. They also noted the importance of in-depth contextual research in making cultural policy assessments. Interestingly, some of this content, such as work by Kong et al. (2006) had been on the syllabus previously, but not presented within a relational framework situated within the historicism of international political economy and coloniality; intersecting with contemporary policy.

## **Student Reaction**

QUB student reaction was not dissimilar to that of the Caribbean students. There was acknowledgement of a lack of knowledge and the lack of a diversity of knowledge, as gleaned from formal student evaluations and comments from 2021-2023. The QUB student demographics are similar to Antonio Cuyler et al.'s (2020) article title, 'steadfastly white, female, hetero and able-bodied'. However, with the international nature of the student cohort, there was some measure of racial and class diversity. Many international students were surprised at having their own countries discussed, suggesting their expectation of a Northern curriculum (Winter et al., 2022; Altbach, 2004). One student in 2021 noted that 'I never expected to have caste and India discussed in a cultural policy class here.' In short, the Indian experience of cultural policy was not seen as relevant within the QUB academic space by an Indian student. Similar reactions ensued from the other major international group, Chinese students (Gu and O'Connor, 2019; Jackson and Chen, 2018). Jackson and Chen (2018) noted in their own study of Chinese students, albeit at both Australian and UK universities, it took some time for these students to see their own knowledge as valid. One student quoted by Jackson and Chen (2018) noted, 'after listening to the Australians, you realise that their answers were not as sophisticated as we'd imagined' (p. 301).

Internationalising and decolonising the curriculum is key for the QUB international cohort for a number of reasons. It is pedagogically necessary to utilise the zones of proximal development approach – i.e., utilising student's present knowledge base as the foundation for teaching (Boettcher, 2007). Motala, Sayed and de Kock (2021, p.1004) writing of South African curricula decolonisation efforts (quoting Morreira, 2017, p.291) noted that:

© Irish Journal of Arts Management & Cultural Policy 2023

ISSN: 2009-6208. <https://culturalpolicy.ie/>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

the coloniality of knowledge in higher education curricula creates a context in which black students not only do not 'see' themselves reflected in the knowledge they engage with and the pedagogies used to teach them – they are also positioned at a deficit in relation to the institution, where they are unable to access the cultural and social milieu of the space and are systematically excluded from becoming "ideal knowers".

Additionally, regarding decolonisation and student career trajectories, the QUB cohort was likely to work all over the world. Therefore, in terms of both situating their career realities and their likelihood of working interculturally, due to intersections of nationality, wealth and location (Silva, 2020), it is important for them to be critical of current frameworks of knowledge, wherever they are from or wherever they go.<sup>xiv</sup> A common international student reaction was the shock of recognition of coloniality in their own countries. While in the UK, many international students occupy (ethnic) minority status, this is often not their position within their country.

In the first year of teaching, I chose cases in which students' nationalities were not implicated in order to represent neutral ground to discuss issues of privilege, coloniality and discrimination. This neutrality allowed for open space for all to discuss varying perspectives on marginality without feeling singled out. One example was to talk about the heritage and policy in a discussion of the Uluru in Australia and differential cultural values assigned to it by the Anangu versus 'mainstream' Australian society.<sup>xv</sup> However, in the second year, I reflected that this strategy should have a second step - dealing with decoloniality relevant to the students themselves (Motala et al., 2021).

The reaction from the local students was one of panic. Firstly, local students (meaning British, Northern and Southern Irish) tended to be unsettled by having to learn beyond the EU-North American nexus. For example, in the latest iteration of the group project, a number of local students noted their disquiet at presenting on Australia or Turkey, as 'they knew nothing about these places'. The concern was that they had no choice and therefore their grades could be affected. This is demonstrative of the tension between the discomfort of education and the need for higher grades, and the reason for summative assignments. Discomfort and disruption are a necessary aspect of a decolonial education (Lahiri-Roy et al., 2023; Moghli and Kadiwal, 2021; Motala et al., 2021; Bird and Pitman, 2020; Liyanage, 2020). Additionally, while local students are aware of the history of English coloniality in (the island of) Ireland, this did not engender recognition of other instances of coloniality. They were used to the hegemony whereby the North provides core concepts with the 'addition' of Southern experiences. De-centred relational decolonial knowledge was initially uncomfortable, but not rejected. Students remarked on how much they did not know or realise. Many local students repeated tropes about Irish non-involvement in the slave trade. Historian Nini Rodgers (2007) notes that Ireland's relationship with slavery is largely forgotten for a variety of reasons – shame and poor recording. However, she noted that, despite recognition of common cause between Catholic emancipation and slave emancipation later, 'the Irish, colonised at home, were colonisers abroad' (p. 2). Studies from other Northern margins, such as Australia, show a similar awareness of being on the margin, but little examination of their relationship to the Southern spaces, either within their context or without (Lewis, 2004; Morris, 1992). Therefore discomfort is necessary. One of the recurring critiques of curriculum decolonisation is that it has been defanged by inclusion into pre-existing university practices (Bellugi and Thondlana, 2022; Arshad et al., 2021; Mbembe 2021, 2016; Moghli and

Kadiwal, 2021). However, student discomfort can result in negative evaluations from both staff and students; and therefore threaten career progress, a situation exacerbated for academics of colour and/or migrant academics (Burlyuk and Rahbari, 2023; Lahiri-Roy et al., 2023; Daniel, 2019; Kenny, 2018; Bhopal and Jackson, 2013).

## Discussion

These cases illustrate the multi-layered complexity of curriculum decolonisation involving the personal, course content and institutional relationships; and their interaction with location along the North-South/East-West continua. In this comparative study, differences in location, institutional positioning and student demographics, and their attendant job markets impact how decolonisation is addressed in each case, despite being taught by the same lecturer. A bespoke, nuanced international and decolonial curriculum grounded in the realities of the politico-geographic context of place and of the places from which both students and lecturer emerge (and are likely to go) is advised. This is both labour-intensive for the individual lecturer and course, but also should be accompanied by systemic and epistemic changes, as curricula decolonisation's definition can vary (Jansen, 2023).

Student demographics along with their embodied knowledge, impact and interact with ways to enact a decolonial curriculum (Edwards and Shahjahan, 2021; Motala et.al, 2021). Universities sit within hierarchies as demonstrated by the Times Higher Education World University Rankings. Those institutions at the top of such lists serve an international rather than strictly local population. QUB's student cohort is international, while UWI's cohort is local. Both pedagogical and decolonial principles necessitate a different framework for teaching within these contexts. The Caribbean student demographic necessitated a curriculum grounded in Caribbean realities [of geographical job prospects, literature access among others] and perspectives – even as it covered issues regarding various film industries. Innovative pedagogical methods, such as Human Books, were used to counter the lack of academic material written on Caribbean film scenes. In the QUB case, the student demographic necessitated a different presentation of coloniality, one that engaged with the international (and often privileged) cohort. It included a presentation grounded in the kaleidoscopic nature of coloniality, along the North-South and East-West continua. The various localities of students' embodied knowledge were likewise utilised in interactive formative group assignments, where the students taught each other. This approach was undergirded by access to a wealth of international academic research through journal subscriptions, library material and lecturer access to international conferences and colleagues.

Curriculum decolonisation also varies depending on the institutional and politico-geographic context (Gaio et al. 2023; Jansen, 2023; Mbembe, 2021, 2016; Shome, 2019; Altbach, 2004). The differential resources of UWI and QUB mattered. The (lack of) access to material was a significant factor in designing the curriculum in both cases. Academic texts and journal subscriptions are costly, and therefore present an argument for open access and other such revamping of academic publishing to allow for greater equity (Asea, 2022; Gukurume and Maringira, 2020). However, lack of material was not solely an issue of cost. It bears restating Shome's (2019) advice that 'knowledge should [not] only be encased in academic platforms' (p. 208). Such re-evaluation, re-casting and implosion of definitions of academic knowledge, is not

just to address Southern deficit, but a systemic addressing of colonial knowledge hierarchies within international higher education (Mbembe, 2021; Shome 2019, 2009). There was the differential funding available in Jamaica versus Northern Ireland for arts management and creative industries research. Consultancies were a common publishing medium for creative industries work in the Caribbean, making it necessary to move beyond conventional academic sources, not uncommon in Southern contexts (Thawngmung, 2017; Wight, Ahikire and Kwesiga, 2014; Wight, 2008). Additionally, given the niche nature of the Caribbean film space and the colonial education of those involved, the emphasis of some was not on doing research on the Caribbean, but 'catching up', resulting in an initial American and Euro-centric syllabus. This is again not uncommon in Southern contexts (including those in the North) (Jansen, 2023; Gukurume and Maringira, 2020; Joffe 2019).

A fundamental aspect of decolonisation is a changing, responsive curricula. In both the UWI and the QUB curricula, the insights regarding material, student response and institutional limits were discovered and responded to over time. For example, the differential nomenclature of courses, creative entrepreneurship versus arts management versus cultural policy and management speaks to differential paradigms of the field. Likewise, the curriculum needs to respond to contemporary changes in both environments. For example, Jansen (2023) notes the datedness but continued use of binary centre-periphery models which neglect changes in knowledge production along the North-South continua.

Curricula decolonisation can't be dependent solely on individual lecturers. Arshad et al (2021) noted how a collaborative approach to evaluating reading lists within the University College of London's political studies department was part of a wider decolonial project which is a 'multifaceted process going beyond reading lists and the process of working in a collaborative and diverse environment [which] empowered us [all] as legitimate knowledge producers' (p. 5). In the QUB case, I had to be comfortable with the discomfort (including my own) caused by presenting the relational view of embedded historical possession versus dispossession, one of the cruces of coloniality (Mbembe, 2021; Shome, 2019). I used the Human Books in my QUB course, as I happened to know this technique from my own experience as a Human Book. Likewise, as primarily an English speaker, I gravitate towards English-language sources. Being a researcher [redacted], I personally know the importance of reading in the primary language. For restructuring or demolition of knowledge hierarchies, attention to hierarchy of language is a given (Henze, 2021; Lumadi, 2021). The burden and expectation on individual academics is obvious – all are not multilingual; all do not have embodied North-South experience; all do not even agree with decolonisation of the curriculum, given their own education (Jansen, 2023; Gukurume and Maringira, 2020). Additionally, with academic positions becoming increasingly synonymous with precarity, low wages, and unwieldy workloads internationally, the expectation that individual academics will have time to do this work, without recognition, compensation or allowance is unreasonable.<sup>xvi</sup> In fact, the likelihood is that, as has happened, the burden falls on those from marginalised backgrounds or is not done at all (Burlyuk and Rahbari, 2023; Belluigi and Thondlana, 2022; Lahiri-Roy et al., 2023; Miller, 2019; Kenny, 2018; Bhopal and Jackson, 2013).

Curriculum decolonisation even within specific areas such as arts and cultural management; and creative industries required a systemic approach. Analysis of these two studies demonstrate that positioning along the North-South continuum is a factor in the methods of curriculum

© Irish Journal of Arts Management & Cultural Policy 2023

ISSN: 2009-6208. <https://culturalpolicy.ie/>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

decolonisation, as it determines systemic issues of funding and access to a point. Likewise, the status of the individual university also matters, particularly in the determination of access and student demographics. Additionally, students and their embodied socio-economic and cultural experiences matter. Decolonisation of the curriculum (and generally) is a complex process. As colonisation itself is systemic, the process to undo it should also be systemic, beyond individual collaborative processes as described by Arshad et al (2021); Bird and Pitman (2020) and Gaio et al. (2023). It required subject-level approaches and discussions – much in the same way the canon had been constructed. For example, one element with both curricula that is still to be addressed is the Anglophone nature of the sources.

The Master's tools will never dismantle the master's house ... Difference must be not merely tolerated but seen as a fund of necessary polarities between which our creativity can spark like a dialectic. Only then does the necessity for interdependency become unthreatening. Only within that *interdependency of different strengths*, acknowledged and equal, can the power to seek new ways of being in the world generate, as well as the courage and sustenance to act where there are no charters (Lorde, 1979, *emphasis mine*).

Audre Lorde (1979) understood that decolonisation [of knowledge and curricula] requires an acknowledgement of the world as it is with its interdependencies and then a move to change it. The original intent of this paper was to document the lessons from an individual academic's attempt to teach CPM in a decolonial way. The lesson of the reflexivity and reflectivity involved in writing this piece has confirmed (for me) the need for a revolution within the system of international higher education; one that acknowledges the interdependence and relationality of the educational decolonisation project. Decolonisation is at least a two-way street. No, actually it is an intersection – a multi-layered, bi-directional intersection - with different roads, the choice of which is dependent on the political, social and historical realities of place, university position, student demographics and subject matter. While arts management programmes often emerge in conjunction with the establishment of arts and cultural institutions and this pattern continues in the South, the socio-cultural position of the arts and culture is not the same (Joffe, 2019; Paquette and Redaelli, 2015). Northern research often speaks to the elitism within the cultural industries and the associated academic programmes (Brook et al., 2020; Cuyler et al., 2020). While in Southern contexts, arts and culture are seen either as a prestigious economic venture (Gu & O'Connor, 2019), or as neither economic or prestigious (Spence, 2019), among other perspectives. Decolonisation requires pro-active upsetting of long-established field-wise frameworks starting with the curriculum and addressing the implicit hierarchies of and within North and Southern voices and concepts.

As a starting point, one major obstacle to curriculum decolonisation is access; access to academic and non-academic material and access to funding and so on. As Motala et al. (2021) noted 'access to funding, textbooks, accommodation ... affect the ability of the students to engage in epistemic decolonisation' (p.1015). I therefore advocate partnerships – between universities to support student and staff exchanges in recognition of the importance of embodied knowledge in the decolonisation process; and more open access journals and platforms to support material access. This is not a new idea or initiative, but a cross-discipline or even international higher education discussion that could allow for learning from existing North-South collaborations (Burlyuk and Rahbari, 2023; Haley et al., 2022; Winter et al., 2022; Durrer and

© Irish Journal of Arts Management & Cultural Policy 2023

ISSN: 2009-6208. <https://culturalpolicy.ie/>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Henze, 2020; Dragičević Šešić, 2018; Nossun and Halvorsen, 2017; Mbembe, 2016; Altbach, 2004). Additionally, despite the best intentions, the issue is structural, epistemic and nuanced and therefore requires intervention on that scale. Various accounts from female, minority, LGBTQ, dis-abled and all the intersections between, illustrate this issue (Gaio et al, 2023; Lahiri-Roy et al., 2023; Poets, 2020; Silva, 2020; Bhopal and Jackson, 2013; Gutierrez y Muhs et al., 2012).

Moreover, there is scope for disciplinary re-evaluation, re-structuring and revolution. In short, an international disciplinary community of practice, whereby syllabi, readings lists and assessments are discussed and shared. All this effort needs to be recognised within promotion and academic work environments. However, within a climate of austerity, neoliberal higher education questioning the importance of the arts and humanities, within which much of the discussions and recognition of the importance of decolonisation lie, I am not hopeful (Poets, 2020). I therefore submit this article as a provocation borne out of my (continuing) attempts to decolonise my curricula and to say that it cannot and should not be done alone.

---

## References

Ang, Ien, Isar, Yudhishtir Raj and Mar, Phillip (2015). Cultural diplomacy: beyond the national interest? *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 21(4), 365-381.

Altbach, Philip G. (2004). Globalisation and the University: Myths and Realities in an Unequal World. *Tertiary Education and Management*, 10(1), 3-25.

Asea, Wilson B (2022). Epistemic decoloniality of westernised higher education: A discourse on curriculum justice and knowledge integration at historically white universities in South Africa. *Arts & Humanities in Higher Education*, 21(4), 375-393.

Alacovska, Ana and Gill, Rosalind (2019). De-westernizing creative labour studies: The informality of creative work from an ex-centric perspective. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*, 22(2), 195-212.

Alasuutari, Pertti and Kangas, Anita (2020). The global spread of the concept of cultural policy. *Poetics*, 82, 1-13.

Bakhshi, Hasan and Cunningham, Stuart (2016). *Cultural Policy in the time of the creative industries: Provocation*. NESTA.

Barrow, Marielle (2022). Counter-Cultural-Memory: Performative Strategies of Arts Management and Cultural Policy for the Caribbean. In: A. Cuyler (ed.), *Arts Management, Cultural Policy, & the African Diaspora*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Barthold, Charles (2020). Decolonising the idea of culture in management studies. *Open Learn*. Available at: <https://www.open.edu/openlearn/money-business/decolonising-the-idea-culture-management-studies>.

Belluigi, Dina Zoe and Thondhlana, Gladman (2022). 'Your skin has to be elastic': the politics of belonging as a selected black academic at a 'transforming' South African university. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 35(2), 141-162.

Bhopal, Kalwant and Jackson, June (2013). *The experiences of Black and Minority Ethnic Academics: multiple identities and career progression*. University of Southampton E-Press.

Bird, K. Schucan and Pitman, Lesley (2020). How diverse is your reading list? Exploring issues of representation and decolonisation in the UK. *Higher Education*, 79, 902-920.

Black, Jessica (2020) Queen's University accused of failing to address "grave concerns" of black students. *Belfast Live*, 21 June. Available at: <https://www.belfastlive.co.uk/news/queens-accused-failing-address-grave-18459682>.

Black, Paul and William, Dylan (2009). Developing the theory of formative assessment. *Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability*, 21(1), 5-31.

BLM Belfast. (2017). *Special Statement of Black Lives Matter Belfast (#BLMBelfast) on the 9 March 2017 visit of Professor Angela Davis to Queen's University Belfast*. 9 March. Available at: [https://m.facebook.com/nt/screen/?params=%7B%22note\\_id%3A2827342424169919%7D%26path%2Fnotes%2Fnote%2F](https://m.facebook.com/nt/screen/?params=%7B%22note_id%3A2827342424169919%7D%26path%2Fnotes%2Fnote%2F).

Brook, Oriana, O'Brien, Dave and Taylor, Mark (2020). *Culture is Bad for You: Inequality in the Cultural and Creative Industries*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Boettcher, Judith (2007). Ten core principles for designing effective learning environments: Insights from brain research and pedagogical theory. *Innovate: Journal of Online Education*, 3(3, 2) 1-10.

Bourdieu, Pierre (1990). *Reproduction in Education, Society and Culture*. 2nd ed.: Sage Publications.

Buckley, Sheryl and Du Toit, Adeline (2010). Academics leave your ivory tower: form communities of practice. *Educational Studies*, 36(5), 493-503.

Burlyuk, Olga and Rahbari, Ladan (eds.) (2023). *Migrant Academics' Narratives of Precarity and Resilience in Europe*. Open Book Publishers.

Burri, Mira and Nurse, Keith (2019). *Culture in the CARIFORUM-European Union Partnership Agreement: Rebalancing trade flows between Europe and the Caribbean*. UNESCO.

Campbell, Shanyce L. (2020). Ratings in black and white: a quantcrit examination of race and gender in teacher evaluation reform. *Race Ethnicity and Education*. 26(7), 815-833.

Carpio Valdeavellano, Paloma (2021). Cultural management in Peru: The role of the Ministry of Culture in challenging times. In: Henze R. and Escribal F. (eds.), *Cultural Management and Policy in Latin America*. London: Routledge.



Chang, Ha-Joon (2002). *Kicking away the ladder: development strategy in historical perspective*. Anthem.

Chatterjee, Soma, & Barber, Kathryn (2021). Between 'here-now' and 'there-then': the West and Asia's colonial entanglements in international higher education [Original Paper]. *Higher Education: The International Journal of Higher Education Research*, 81(2), 221-239.

Cunningham, Stuart (2009). Trojan horse or Rorschach blot? Creative industries discourse around the world. *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 15(4), 375-386.

Cuyler, Antonio, Durrer, Victoria & Nisbett, Melissa (2020). Steadfastly White, Female, Hetero and Able-Bodied: An International Survey on the Motivations and Experiences of Arts Management Graduates. *International Journal of Arts Management*, 22(3), 5-16.

Daniel, Beverly-Jean (2019). Teaching while Black: racial dynamics, evaluations, and the role of White females in the Canadian academy in carrying the racism torch. *Race, ethnicity and education*, 22(1), 21-37.

Davidson, Helen (2017). Uluru climb banned from October 2019 after historic vote. *The Guardian Australia*, 1 November. Available at: <https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2017/nov/01/uluru-climb-banned-from-october-2019-after-historic-vote>.

De Beukelaer, Christiaan (2017). Toward an 'African' take on the cultural and creative industries? *Media, Culture & Society*, 39(4), 582-591.

De Beukelaer, Christiaan and Spence, Kim-Marie (2019). *Global Cultural Economy*. London: Routledge.

Deardorff, Darla K., de Wit, Hans and Adams, Tony (2012). The SAGE handbook of international higher education. In *International higher education*. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications.

DeVereaux, Constance (2019). *Arts and cultural management: sense and sensibilities in the state of the field* [eBook]. London: Routledge.

Dragičević Šešić, Milena (2018). Culture and democracy: cultural policies in action: Is Cultural Policy Theory Possible on Semi-Periphery? *Kultura*, 160, 13-35.

Dragičević-Šešić, Milena, & Mihaljinac, Nina (2020). Cultural Management Training Within Cultural Diplomacy Agendas in the MENA Region, In: Durrer, V. and Henze, R. (eds.), *Managing Culture: Reflecting On Exchange In Global Times*. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.

Duffy, Brooke Erin (2016). The romance of work: Gender and aspirational labour in the digital culture industries. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*, 19(4), 441-457.

Durrer, Victoria (2020). A Call for Reflexivity: Implications of the Internationalisation Agenda for Arts Management Programmes Within Higher Education. In: Durrer, V. and Henze, R. (eds.) *Managing Culture: Reflecting on Exchange in Global Times*. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.

© Irish Journal of Arts Management & Cultural Policy 2023

ISSN: 2009-6208. <https://culturalpolicy.ie/>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

- Durrer, Victoria and Henze, Raphaela (2020). *Managing Culture: Reflecting on Exchange in Global Times*. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.
- Ebewo, Patrick (2022). Arts Management and Cultural Policy in South Africa: Navigating the Multicultural Labyrinth, In: Cuyler, A.C. (ed.), *Arts Management, Cultural Policy, & the African Diaspora*. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.
- Ellis, Carolyn, Adams, Tony E. and Bochner, Arthur P. (2010). Autoethnography: An Overview. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 12(1).
- Escobar, Arturo (1995). *Encountering development: The making and unmaking of the third world*. Princeton University Press.
- Evard, Yves and Colbert, François (2000). Arts Management: A New Discipline Entering the Millennium? *International Journal of Arts Management*, 2(2), 4-13.
- Fahed, Ziad (2020). Teaching spiritual solidarity through "Human Books". *Teaching theology & religion*, 23(3), 202-208.
- Fanon, Frantz (1963). *The Wretched of the Earth*. English Edition. New York: Grove Press.
- Francis-Brown, Suzanne (2004). *Mona, Past and Present: The History and Heritage of the Mona Campus, University of the West Indies*. Kingston: The University of the West Indies Press.
- Frampton, Anthony B. (2014). *Beyond the shadows of Caribbean cinema: Lighting a regional industry*. Kingston: Centre of Tourism & Policy Research, University of the West Indies.
- Friedman, Sam, O'Brien, Dave and McDonald, Ian (2021). Deflecting Privilege: Class Identity and the Intergenerational Self. *Sociology*, 55(4), 716-733.
- Grant, Serena (2019). Television Jamaica (TVJ) - Just Look At Us Now! *My Island Jamaica*. Available at:  
[https://www.myislandjamaica.com/television\\_jamaica.html#:~:text=JBC%20%E2%80%93%20TV%20was%20named%20after,Jamaica%20gained%20Independence%20from%20Britain](https://www.myislandjamaica.com/television_jamaica.html#:~:text=JBC%20%E2%80%93%20TV%20was%20named%20after,Jamaica%20gained%20Independence%20from%20Britain).
- Gu, Xin (2014). Cultural industries and creative clusters in Shanghai. *City, Culture and Society*, 5(3), 123-130.
- Gu, Xin & O'Connor, Justin (2019). Teaching 'tacit knowledge' in cultural and creative industries to international students. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*, 18(2-3), 140-158.
- Gukurume, Simbarashe & Maringira, Godfrey (2020). Decolonising sociology: perspectives from two Zimbabwean universities. *Third World Thematics: A TWQ Journal*, 5(1-2), 60-78.
- Gutierrez y Muhs, Gabriela, Niemann, Yolanda F., Gonzalez, Carmen G., & Harris, Angela P. (eds.) (2012). *Presumed Incompetent: The Intersections of Race and Class for Women in Academia*. Utah: Utah State University Press.

Hanafi, Sārī & Arvanitis, Rigas (2016) The politics of citation: who frames the debate on the Arab uprisings? In: Hanafi, S. & Arvanitis, R. (eds.), *Knowledge Production in the Arab World*. London: Routledge.

Haley, Aimee, Alemu, Sintayehu K., Zerihun, Zenawi and Uusimäki, Liisa (2022). Internationalisation through research collaboration. *Educational review (Birmingham), ahead-of-print*, 1-16.

Hall, Richard, Ansley, Lucy, Connolly, Paris, Loonat, Sumeya, Patel, Kaushika and Whitham, Ben (2021). Struggling for the anti-racist university: learning from an institution-wide response to curriculum decolonisation. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 26(7/8), 902-919.

Hendrickson, Michael and Stanley Niaah, Sonjah (2018). *A study on the creative industry as a pillar of sustained growth and diversification - The film and music sectors in Jamaica: lessons from case studies of successful firms and ventures*. Studies and Perspectives, United Nations Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean. Available at: <https://repositorio.cepal.org/items/e63c4fb6-2d5f-401a-9d79-80673ff4c647>

Henze, Raphaela (2021). Thinking cultural management from the South: New frames for the “Western” discourse informed by Latin America, In: Henze, R. and Escribal, F. (eds.), *Cultural Management and Policy in Latin America*. London: Routledge.

Hesmondhalgh, David, Nisbett, Melissa, Oakley, Kate and Lee, David (2015). Were New Labour’s cultural policies neo-liberal? *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 21(1), 97-114.

Hickling Gordon, Deborah and Thomas Gilbert Roberts, Stephanie (2022). ‘Connect - The - Dots’, *Mapping Kingston’s Networks of Culture*. European Union. Available at: <https://www.cultureinexternalrelations.eu/downloader/download-file?file=2022/12/JAMAICA-FINAL-REPORT-1312.pdf>

Jackson, Jane and Chen, Xinren (2018). Discussion-based pedagogy through the eyes of Chinese international exchange students. *Pedagogies (Mahwah, N.J.)*, 13(4), 289-307.

James, Vanus (2001). *The Caribbean Music Industry Database (CMID), 2000*. UNCTAD & WIPO.

Jana, Anupta and Rout, Rosalien (2022). Designing metadata schema for a human library: a prototype [JOURNAL]. *Digital Library Perspectives*, 38(3), 346-361.

Jansen, Jonathan (2023). The problem with decolonisation: entanglements in the politics of knowledge. *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, 61(1), 139-156.

Joffe, Avril (2019). Pedagogy as Activist Practice: A Reflection on the Cultural Policy and Management Degree in South Africa. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education: An International Journal of Theory, Research and Practice*, 18(2-3), 178-196.

Kenny, John (2018). Re-empowering academics in a corporate culture: an exploration of workload and performativity in a university. *Higher Education*, 75(2), 365-380.

Khalid, Adeb (2021). *Central Asia: a new history from the imperial conquests to the present*. Princeton University Press.

Kim, Annabel L. (2020). The Politics of Citation. *Diacritics*, 48(3), 4-9.

Kong, Lily, Gibson, Chris, Khoo, Louisa-May and Semple, Anne-Louise (2006). Knowledges of the Creative Economy: Towards a Relational Geography of Diffusion and Adaptation in Asia. *Asia Pacific Viewpoint*, 47(2), 173-194.

Lahiri-Roy, Reshmi, Belford, Nish and Sum, Nicola (2023). Transnational women academics of colour enacting 'pedagogy of discomfort': positionality against a 'pedagogy of rupture'. *Pedagogy, culture & society*, 31(3), 339-357.

Lee, Hye-Kyung (2016). Politics of the 'creative industries' discourse and its variants. *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 22(3), 438-455.

Lee, Hye-Kyung (2021). The Arts Council at a Crossroad: stories from Britain and South Korea. *The Journal of Arts Management, Law, and Society*, 52(1), 51-66.

Lee, Hye-Kyung, & Lim, Lorraine. (eds.) (2014). *Cultural policies in East Asia: dynamics between the state, arts and creative industries*. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.

Lewis, Tania (2004). Meaghan Morris and the Formation of Australian Cultural Studies: a Narrative of Intellectual Exchange and Located Transnationalism. New York: SAGE Publications.

Lietz, Cynthia A., Langer, Carol L. and Furman, Rich (2006). Establishing Trustworthiness in Qualitative Research in Social Work. *Qualitative Social Work*, 5(4), 441-458.

Lim, Lorraine and Lee, Hye-Kyung. (eds.) (2019). *Routledge Handbook of Cultural and Creative Industries in Asia*. New York: Routledge.

Liyanage, Mia (2020). Miseducation: Decolonising curricula, culture and pedagogy in UK universities. In: *Debate Paper 23*. Oxford: Higher Education Policy Institute.

Lorde, Audre (1979). *The Master's Tools Will Never Dismantle The Master's House*. Second Sex Conference, 29 October.

Losson, Pierre (2013) *The creation of a Ministry of Culture: towards the definition and implementation of a comprehensive cultural policy in Peru*. Great Britain: Taylor & Francis.

Lumadi, Mutendwahothe W. (2021). Decolonising the curriculum to reinvigorate equity in higher education: a linguistic transformation. *South African Journal of Higher Education*, 35(1), 37-53.

Ma, Haili (2019). Cultural and creative industries in modern languages. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*, 18(2-3), 216-230.

Makhulu, Anne-Maria B. and Smith, Christen A. (2022). CITEBLACKWOMEN. *Cultural anthropology*, 37(2), 177-181.

- Martens, Emiel (2018). The History of Film and Tourism in Jamaica. In: Bandau, A., Brüske, A. and Ueckmann, N. (eds.) *Reshaping Glocal Dynamics of the Caribbean. Relaciones y Desconexiones – Relations et Déconnexions – Relations and Disconnections*. Heidelberg: Heidelberg University Publishing.
- Marx, Karl (2000). *Manifesto of The Communist Party*. South Bend, UNITED STATES: Infomotions, Inc.
- Mbembe, Achilles (2021). Thoughts on the planetary: An interview with Achille Mbembe. In: Barnard-Naude, J. (Ed.), *Decolonising the Neoliberal University: Law, Psychoanalysis and the Politics of Student Protest*, London: Birkbeck Press.
- Mbembe, Achilles (2016). Decolonizing the university: New directions. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*, 15, 29-45.
- McGrath, Francis C. (2012). Settler nationalism: Ulster unionism and postcolonial theory. *Irish studies review*, 20(4), 463-485.
- McMahon, Patrick (2018). 'Making the grade': a grounded theory explaining the student experience of Asian and Middle-Eastern postgraduates in a British university. *Journal of Higher Education Policy & Management*, 40(1), 34-47.
- Milan, Stefania and Treré, Emiliano (2019). Big Data from the South(s): Beyond Data Universalism. *Television & New Media*, 20(4), 319-335.
- Miller, Julia (2019). Where does the time go? An academic workload case study at an Australian university. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 41(6), 633-645.
- Miller, Toby (2008). From creative to cultural industries. *Cultural Studies*, 23(1), 88-99.
- Moghli, Mai Abu and Kadiwal, Laila (2021). Decolonising the curriculum beyond the surge: Conceptualisation, positionality and conduct. *London Review of Education*, 19(1), 1-16.
- Monclus, Rosa Perez and Arfaoui, Mehdi (2017). Cultural policy in the time of the creative industries: policy perspective. *Cultural Trends*, 26(1), 86-88.
- Morgan, George and Nelligan, Pariece (2018). *The Creativity Hoax: Precarious work in the gig economy*. London: Anthem Press.
- Motala, Shireen, Sayed, Yusuf and de Kock, Tarryn (2021). Epistemic decolonisation in reconstituting higher education pedagogy in South Africa: the student perspective. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 26(7/8), 1002-1018.
- Mott, Carrie and Cockayne, Daniel (2017). Citation matters: mobilizing the politics of citation toward a practice of 'conscientious engagement'. *Gender, place and culture: a journal of feminist geography*, 24(7), 954-973.
- Ndlovu-Gatsheni, Sabelo J. (2018). The dynamics of epistemological decolonisation in 21st century: towards epistemic freedom. *Strategic Review for Southern Africa*, 40(1), 16-45.

Nistor, Nicolae, Daxecker, Irene, Stanciu, Dorin, & Diekamp, Oliver (2015). Sense of community in academic communities of practice: predictors and effects. *Higher Education: The International Journal of Higher Education and Educational Planning*, 69(2), 257-273.

Nordicity. (2021). *Mapping Jamaica's Cultural and Creative Industries: Phase 1 - Situational Analysis*. Available at:

[https://caribbean.britishcouncil.org/sites/default/files/jamaica\\_cci\\_mapping\\_-\\_nordicity\\_report\\_final.pdf](https://caribbean.britishcouncil.org/sites/default/files/jamaica_cci_mapping_-_nordicity_report_final.pdf).

Nossum, Jorun and Halvorsen, Tor (2017). North-South knowledge networks towards equitable collaboration between academics, donors and universities, In: *African Minds*. Baltimore, Maryland: Project Muse.

Nurse, Keith (2006). *The cultural industries in CARICOM: trade and development challenges*. EU PROINVEST. Available at:

<http://www.acpcultures.eu/pdf/The%20Cultural%20Industries%20in%20CARICOM.pdf>.

Oakley, Kate, Laurison, Daniel, O'Brien, Dave and Friedman, Sam (2017). Cultural Capital: Arts Graduates, Spatial Inequality, and London's Impact on Cultural Labor Markets. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 61(12), 1510-1531.

Oxford Economics. (2014). *The economic impact of the creative industries in the Americas*. A report prepared for British Council, International Development Bank, & Organisation of the American States. Available at: <https://publications.iadb.org/en/bitstream/handle/11319/7747/The-Economic-Impact-of-the-Creative-Industries-in-the-Americas.pdf>.

Paquette, Jonathan and Redaelli, Eleanora (2015). *Arts Management and Cultural Policy Research*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Paschalidis, Gregory (2009). Exporting National Culture: Histories of Cultural Institutes Abroad. *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 15(3), 275-289.

Paulson, Steve (2016). Critical Intimacy: An Interview with Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak. *Los Angeles Review of Books*, July 29. Available at:

<https://lareviewofbooks.org/article/criticalintimacy-interview-gayatri-chakravorty-spivak/>.

Poets, Desirée (2020). Failing in the Reflexive and Collaborative Turns: Empire, Colonialism, Gender and the Impossibilities of North-South Collaborations. In Kušić, K. and Záhora, J. (eds.), *Fieldwork as Failure: Living and Knowing in the Field of International Relations*. Bristol: International Relations Publishing.

Pustelnikovaite, Toma and Chillias, Shiona (2022). Modes of Incorporation: The Inclusion of Migrant Academics in the UK. *Work, Employment and Society*, 1-19.

Rajadhyaksha, Ashish, Radhika, P. and Tenkayala, Raghavendra (2013). *Country Profile: India* in International Database of Cultural Policies. Australia: IFACCA.

Rodney, Walter (1973). *How Europe Underdeveloped Africa*. Tanzania: Bogle-L'Ouverture Publications & Tanzanian Publishing House.

© Irish Journal of Arts Management & Cultural Policy 2023

ISSN: 2009-6208. <https://culturalpolicy.ie/>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Rodgers, Nini (2007). *Ireland, slavery and anti-slavery: 1612-1865*. Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan.

Saha, Anamik (2018) *Race and the Cultural Industries*. Cambridge: Polity.

Sauerbronn, Fernanda Filgueiras, Ayres, Rosângela Mesquisa, da Silva, Cleia Maria and Lourenço, Rosenery Loureiro (2021). Decolonial studies in accounting? Emerging contributions from Latin America. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 102281.

Schmidt, Brian and Maharaj, Kiran (2021). *UNESCO Media Viability Indicators Research Study: Jamaica*. The Media Institute of the Caribbean (MIC) for UNESCO

Scullion, Adrienne, & García, Beatriz (2005). What is cultural policy research? *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 11(2), 113-127.

Seawright, Jason & Gerring, John (2008). Case selection techniques in case study research: A menu of qualitative and quantitative options. *Political Research Quarterly*, 61(2), 294-308.

Shome, Raka (2019). Thinking Culture and Cultural Studies—from/of the Global South. *Communication and critical/cultural studies*, 16(3), 196-218.

Shome, Raka (2009). Post-colonial reflections on the 'internationalization' of cultural studies, *Cultural Studies*, 23(5-6), 694-719.

Silva, Suelen (2020). Reflections on cultural mobility through a post-colonial lens. From the (so-called) South to the North. *Arts Management Quarterly*, 133, 17–24.

Snowball, Jan, Collins, Alan and Tarentaal, Delon (2017). Transformation and job creation in the cultural and creative industries in South Africa. *Cultural Trends*, 26(4), 295-309.

Spence, Kim-Marie (2021). Caribbean Creatives and the Intelligent Economy In S.-A. Wilson (ed.), *Intelligent Economies: Developments in the Caribbean*. Informing Science Press.

Spence, Kim -Marie (2020). *Creative Industries Development: Experiences of the Non-West*. PhD Dissertation The Australian National University.

Spence, Kim-Marie (2019). When Money is not Enough: Reggae, Dancehall, and Policy in Jamaica. *The Journal of Arts Management, Law, and Society*, 49(1), 45-60.

Spivak, Gayatri C. (1994). Can the Subaltern speak. In: Williams, P. and Chrisman, L. (eds.) *Colonial Discourse and Post-Colonial Theory: A Reader*. Hertfordshire: Harvester Wheatsheaf.

Sun, Wanning (2015). Slow boat from China: public discourses behind the 'going global' media policy. *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 21(4), 400-418.

Takayama, Keita (2016). Deploying the post-colonial predicaments of researching on/with 'Asia' in education: a standpoint from a rich peripheral country. *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education*, 37(1), 70-88.

Throsby, David (2010). *The economics of cultural policy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Throsby, David (2012). Why Should Economists be Interested in Cultural Policy? *Economic Record*, 88, 106-109.

Thawngmung, Ardeth Maung (2017). Do consultancies compromise academic research and ethics? A case study of Burma/Myanmar. *Asian journal of political science*, 25(2), 176-193.

Vlassis, Antonios (2016). Soft power, global governance of cultural industries and rising powers: the case of China. *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 22(4), 481-496.

Wallace, Sherri L., Moore, Sharon E., Wilson, Linda L., & Hart, Brenda G. (2012). African American Women in the Academy: Quelling the Myth of Presumed Incompetence. In G. G. y. Muhs, Niemann, Y. F., Gonzalez, C. G. and Harris, A. P. (eds.), *Presumed Incompetent: The Intersections of Race and Class for Women in Academia*. Utah: Utah State University Press.

Weaver, Robert R. and Qi, Jiang (2005). Classroom Organization and Participation: College Students' Perceptions. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 76(5), 570-601

Wight, Daniel (2008). Most of our social scientists are not institution based... they are there for hire—Research consultancies and social science capacity for health research in East Africa. *Social science & medicine* (1982), 66(1), 110-116.

Wight, Daniel, Ahikire, Josephine and Kwesiga, Joy C. (2014). Consultancy research as a barrier to strengthening social science research capacity in Uganda. *Social science & medicine* (1982), 116, 32-40.

Winter, Jennie, Webb, Oliver, & Turner, Rebecca (2022). Decolonising the curriculum: A survey of current practice in a modern UK university. *Innovations in Education & Teaching International*, 1-12.

Wittel, Andreas (2001). Toward a Network Sociality. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 18(6), 51-76.

Wong, Fung Mei, & Lin, Chiao-Min (2023). Operation, development, opportunities and challenges of a human library in Taiwan. *Library Management*, 44(3/4), 191-202.

Yang, Yanling (2016). Film policy, the Chinese government and soft power. *New Cinemas: Journal of Contemporary Film*, 14(1), 72-91.

Zook, Kristal B. (2021). How Black Lives Matter Came to the Academy. *New Yorker*, New York: Condé Nast.

Yu, Ann Tit Wan (2017). Using jigsaw method to enhance the learning of research and consultancy techniques for postgraduate students. *Engineering, construction, and architectural management*, 24(6), 1081-1091.



---

## Endnotes

<sup>i</sup> Those affected by European coloniality includes the European colonising countries and the countries in Africa, North America, South America, the Caribbean, Asia, Australia and New Zealand. This recognises that there are other forms of coloniality, such as Japanese and Russian coloniality which have followed a different trajectory. For example, please see Khalid (2021) for more on colonialism in Central Asia.

<sup>ii</sup> The author is aware that Global North and Global South are binary terms used to represent a spectrum of experiences. For example, in a relevant discussion about Southern data, media scholars Stefania Milan and Emiliano Treré (2019) advocated for an ‘understanding of the South as a composite and plural entity, beyond the geographical connotation’ (p.319).

<sup>iii</sup> I generally prefer the term cultural industries supporting a distinction between those industries that include high cultural content (e.g., film and music) versus high creative content (e.g., advertising and computing) (Throsby, 2008). However, creative industries is the preferred international terminology (Cunningham, 2009).

<sup>iv</sup> The term ‘Western’ is used to refer to North America, Western Europe, Australia and New Zealand,

<sup>v</sup> The legacies of coloniality

<sup>vi</sup> Gu and O’Connor (2019) note how the growth in the international student population, particularly Chinese, forced an internationalisation of the curriculum.

<sup>vii</sup> I note the scholarships to demonstrate the liminality of my own position a – as a working-class Southern person who has had upper/upper middle class Northern educational opportunities (Belluigi and Thondhlana, 2022). I should also note one of these scholarships is a Rhodes scholarship, further underlining the liminality of my position.

<sup>viii</sup> Research indicates that race, gender and class impact on teacher evaluations. Some observe that minority women in majority-white teaching environments tend to be rated lower on teacher evaluations (Pustelnikovaite and Chillias, 2022; Wallace et al., 2021; Campbell, 2020). Beverly-Jean Daniel (2019, p.23) – commenting on Canadian higher education – notes that:

When I introduce readings or conversations that speak to the experience of Blacks (in this context I use the term ‘Black’ to include all peoples of African racial ancestry) – those that examine the historical realities of enslavement, explore the contemporary manifestations of institutionalized and systemic discrimination, or illustrate the voracity of anti-Black racism – my objectivity, experience, expertise, and professionalism are immediately expunged.

<sup>ix</sup> Please see <https://humanlibrary.org/about/>

---

<sup>x</sup> Michaela Coel is a young Black British filmmaker who started her career with British series *Top Boy* and was the principal writer for *Chewing Gum*.

<sup>xi</sup> The Black Curriculum is, in its own words, is a 'social enterprise to address the lack of Black British history in the national curriculum' (<https://theblackcurriculum.com/about>). It is aimed at students aged 3- 25. It provides reading lists, bespoke programmes and other educational resources to supplement the national curriculum.

<sup>xii</sup> The QUB cultural policy course has a required reading list and more extensive recommended reading list. The extensive list had more geographical diversity, particularly in East Asia.

<sup>xiii</sup> In the final iteration, learning from the QUB course, I included a formative ungraded assignment to spark stimulate similar discussions.

<sup>xiv</sup> Suelen Silva (2020) remarks on the mobility of Northern academics, due to their nationality. There are less visa restrictions, even for working visas. I concur. Choosing to live away from [redacted] is a part-time job in terms of the various and frequent visa applications and restrictions. This issue extends to the career trajectories of a Northern, albeit international, student cohort.

<sup>xv</sup> The Uluru is a giant monolith in central Australia. It is a popular tourist site, but due to its sacredness to the Anangu people, climbing was prohibited in 2019 after years of protest. A significant number of Australians do not support the ban (Davidson, 2017).

<sup>xvi</sup> At the time of writing, both in the US and the UK, higher education has been characterised by ongoing strike action, marking boycotts and the like regarding pensions, precarity and contract work, workload and pay levels. See: <https://www.ucu.org.uk/rising>; and <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2023/apr/21/us-universities-wave-strikes#:~:text=In%20late%202022%2C%2048%2C000%20graduate,in%20at%20least%2020%20years>).